

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/695,325	09/695,325 10/25/2000 24959 7590 03/10/2004		Michael O. Okoroafor	1555A1	1203
24959				EXAMINER	
PPG INDUS				SERGENT, RABON A	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT ONE PPG PLACE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PITTSBURGI	I, PA 1:	5272		1711	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/695,325	OKOROAFOR ET AL.			
Turiony Monon	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rabon Sergent	1711			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 11 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated application application about the control of	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	_				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if					
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ce rater than three months after the mail CFR 1.704(b).	ing date of the final rejection, even if			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further	,	see NOTE below)			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	,,	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:	,				
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-103.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					

Rabon Sergert Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1711

10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>

8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 2.: The proposed amendment, if entered, would raise an issue under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, because one could not determine within the amended dependent claims which monomer from the independent claims is being referred to.

Continuation of 5.: The rejection has been maintained, because applicants' response is based upon an amendment that will not be entered.

Continuation of 10.: It is noted that the proposed amendment fails to comply with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.121, because claim 103 has the wrong status identifier.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER